AL-AMWAL

Volume. 18, No. 2
2026

Al-Amwal: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah
ISSN: 2303-1573 e-ISSN: 2527-3876
Homepage: https://www.syekhnurjati.ac.id/jurnal/index.php/amwal
email: *alamwal@uinssc.ac.id*

Han Purnomo[1], Tri Gunarsih[2], Ifah Rofiqoh[3], Sujoko[4], Reinardus Dwi Prio Christianto[5]
[1,2,3,4]Universitas Teknologi Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[5]Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia

Article Info

Article History:
Received 10 May th, 2026
Revised 12 July th, 2026
Accepted 15 September th, 2026
Available online in 15 October 2026

DOI:
10.35891/ml.v13i2.3267

Corresponding Author:
Han Purnomo

Email:
purnomohan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: *Workforce agility has become increasingly important amid digital transformation, economic uncertainty, and changing labor market demands. However, existing discussions of workforce agility have largely emphasized organizational adaptability and employee performance, with less attention to how Islamic work ethics and adaptive human capital can contribute to economic resilience within an Islamic economic framework. This study aims to develop an integrated understanding of workforce agility and Islamic economic resilience by examining the interrelationships among adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance..*

Methods: *This study adopts a conceptual research approach based on an integrative review of literature on workforce agility, human capital development, Islamic work ethics, organizational resilience, and sustainable economic performance. The literature is examined thematically to identify conceptual relationships, theoretical intersections, and potential mechanisms through which workforce agility may contribute to economic resilience. Islamic ethical principles are incorporated as a normative foundation for interpreting workforce adaptability, responsible decision-making, and sustainable value creation.*

Results: *The proposed framework positions workforce agility as a strategic capability that enables individuals and organizations to respond effectively to economic and technological changes. Adaptive human capital is conceptualized as an enabling mechanism that strengthens learning, flexibility, and innovation, while Islamic work ethics provide an ethical foundation emphasizing amanah (trustworthiness), ʿadl (justice), itqān (excellence), and masʾūliyyah (responsibility). Their integration is expected to support sustainable performance and strengthen economic resilience by aligning organizational adaptability with ethical conduct, social welfare, and long-term value creation.*

Conclusion and suggestion: *This study proposes an Islamic human capital framework that connects workforce agility with Islamic economic resilience through adaptive capabilities, ethical work practices, and sustainable performance. It contributes*

conceptually to the intersection of human resource management and Islamic economics by extending the discussion of workforce agility beyond operational flexibility toward ethically grounded and socially responsible economic outcomes. Future research should empirically test the proposed relationships across Islamic financial institutions, halal industries, and Islamic micro, small, and medium enterprises, while considering the contextual role of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah in promoting inclusive and sustainable economic development.

Keywords: *Workforce Agility, Islamic Economic Resilience, Adaptive Human Capital, Islamic Work Ethics, Sustainable Performance*

Paper type: ***Research paper***

ALAMWAL CC BY license. Copyright © 2026, the author(s)

INTRODUCTION

The increasing complexity of the global economic environment has fundamentally transformed the way organizations develop human resources, respond to uncertainty, and sustain organizational performance. Digital transformation, technological disruption, changing labor-market structures, and heightened sustainability expectations require organizations to develop a workforce that can adapt continuously while maintaining productivity, ethical responsibility, and long-term economic viability. These challenges have become particularly important for organizations operating within emerging economies, where institutional constraints, resource limitations, and economic volatility may intensify the consequences of organizational rigidity. In this context, workforce agility has emerged as an important organizational capability because it enables employees to respond to changing demands, acquire new competencies, collaborate across functional boundaries, and adjust work practices without compromising organizational objectives. Research on digital transformation demonstrates that organizational adaptation requires more than technological adoption; it also involves changes in organizational structures, managerial capabilities, employee competencies, and strategic orientations (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

Workforce agility can be understood as the capacity of employees and work teams to anticipate changes, respond effectively to unexpected situations, and continuously adjust their competencies and behaviors in response to evolving organizational requirements. Unlike conventional approaches that emphasize efficiency through standardized routines, workforce agility highlights adaptability, learning, responsiveness, and the ability to mobilize knowledge under conditions of uncertainty. This capability is increasingly relevant because technological and market changes can rapidly alter job requirements, business processes, and competitive relationships. Nevertheless, workforce agility should not be interpreted merely as the ability to perform additional tasks or respond quickly to managerial instructions. Rather, it represents a multidimensional capability involving cognitive flexibility, behavioral adaptability, collaborative problem-solving, and continuous learning. The literature on digital transformation supports this broader perspective by demonstrating that organizational change involves the interaction of technological developments, strategic decisions, organizational arrangements, and human capabilities (Kraus et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

Despite its growing importance, workforce agility alone may be insufficient to explain how organizations achieve resilience and sustainable performance in economies characterized by uncertainty and competing stakeholder expectations. Employees may possess strong adaptive capabilities, but those capabilities do not automatically translate into responsible decision-making, equitable resource allocation, or long-term organizational sustainability. Adaptability without ethical direction may prioritize short-term financial outcomes over employee welfare, environmental responsibility, and community interests. Conversely, organizations with strong ethical commitments may struggle to respond effectively to disruption when their employees lack the competencies and flexibility required to implement organizational change. These considerations suggest that workforce agility should be examined alongside adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance. Integrating these dimensions provides an opportunity to explain not only how employees adapt to changing circumstances but also how their adaptive behaviors can support organizational resilience and broader economic well-being (Verhoef et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2021).

Adaptive human capital constitutes the first important dimension of this integration. Human capital encompasses the knowledge, skills, experience, competencies, and learning capacities that individuals contribute to organizational activities. However, in a rapidly changing economic environment, the value of human capital depends not only on the competencies employees currently possess but also on their ability to renew and apply those competencies when circumstances change. Adaptive human capital therefore emphasizes continuous learning, knowledge transfer, digital literacy, problem-solving capabilities, and the capacity to respond to emerging opportunities and threats. Organizations that invest in employee development can potentially improve their ability to reorganize work processes, respond to operational disruptions, and identify alternative approaches to resource utilization. Nevertheless, investments in human capital are most valuable when individual learning is translated into collective organizational capabilities. Research on digital transformation highlights the importance of aligning technological change with organizational capabilities and the development of human resources (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

The relationship between adaptive human capital and workforce agility is particularly significant because employee adaptability depends on the availability of relevant knowledge and the opportunity to apply it. Employees who possess current technical knowledge, analytical competencies, and learning orientation may be better equipped to identify emerging problems and develop appropriate responses. At the organizational level, adaptive human capital can support workforce agility by enabling employees to move between tasks, collaborate across departments, and participate in innovation processes. However, these outcomes depend on organizational conditions, including access to training, supportive leadership, knowledge-sharing mechanisms, and opportunities for employee participation. Human capital should consequently be considered a strategic resource whose contribution depends on how organizations develop, mobilize, and retain employee capabilities. This perspective is consistent with research emphasizing that digital transformation requires complementary changes in organizational resources, processes, and managerial practices rather than isolated technological investments (Kraus et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

The second dimension, Islamic work ethics, provides a normative foundation for understanding how workforce agility and adaptive human capital can be directed toward responsible organizational outcomes. Islamic work ethics are grounded in Islamic moral principles that emphasize responsibility, honesty, trustworthiness (*amanah*), justice (*'adl*),

consultation (*shura*), excellence in work (*itqan*), and the pursuit of beneficial outcomes. Within this framework, work is not understood exclusively as an instrument for earning income or maximizing organizational profit but also as a form of responsibility that should contribute to individual development and social welfare. These principles provide a potentially important perspective for examining employee behavior in organizations seeking to integrate economic objectives with religious values and social accountability. Islamic work ethics can therefore be conceptualized as an ethical orientation that guides how employees exercise discretion, respond to organizational change, cooperate with colleagues, and make decisions affecting organizational and societal interests (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021). The latter sources support the organizational-change context, rather than serving as direct empirical evidence for Islamic work ethics; dedicated Islamic work ethics studies must be added to establish this relationship empirically.

Integrating Islamic work ethics into the workforce agility framework is important because adaptability is not inherently associated with positive ethical outcomes. In dynamic organizations, employees frequently encounter situations that require rapid decisions, the reallocation of responsibilities, the use of organizational information, and the management of competing interests. Without an ethical framework, such discretion may generate opportunistic behavior, unfair treatment, or decisions that prioritize immediate organizational gains over long-term stakeholder welfare. Islamic ethical principles may provide normative guidance by emphasizing honesty in communication, fairness in the distribution of responsibilities, accountability for decisions, and the responsible use of resources. The principle of *amanah*, for example, can be associated conceptually with accountability in performing work, whereas *'adl* emphasizes fairness in organizational relationships and decision-making. Similarly, *shura* highlights consultation and participation, which may support collaborative responses to organizational challenges. These proposed relationships require direct empirical testing using established Islamic work ethics measures and should not be assumed to demonstrate causality without appropriate research evidence.

The relevance of Islamic work ethics extends beyond individual conduct because ethical values may influence how organizations define success and evaluate performance. Conventional performance indicators often emphasize productivity, profitability, operational efficiency, and growth. Although these indicators remain important, they may provide an incomplete assessment of organizational achievement when social responsibility, employee well-being, environmental stewardship, and distributive fairness are also considered. An Islamic ethical perspective encourages attention to the relationship between economic activity and broader societal welfare. In this respect, the integration of Islamic work ethics with workforce agility offers a conceptual basis for examining whether adaptive employee behavior can be directed toward outcomes that are economically productive, socially responsible, and consistent with ethical obligations. This proposition also complements contemporary discussions of organizational transformation, which emphasize the need to align strategic change with organizational capabilities and broader stakeholder expectations (Verhoef et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

The third dimension, sustainable performance, represents the outcome-oriented component of the proposed framework. Sustainable performance refers to an organization's capacity to maintain desirable economic outcomes while addressing social and environmental responsibilities over time. This concept moves beyond a narrow emphasis on short-term profitability by considering whether organizational processes generate durable value for employees, customers, communities, and other stakeholders. In practice, sustainable performance may involve financial stability, operational efficiency, employee

development, responsible resource utilization, stakeholder trust, and environmental responsibility. Its achievement requires organizations to balance competing priorities while developing capabilities that remain useful under changing economic and technological conditions. Digital transformation research demonstrates that sustainable organizational change depends on the interaction between technological developments, organizational structures, strategic priorities, and internal capabilities (Hanelt et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2021). However, a comprehensive assessment of sustainable performance requires additional evidence from sustainability and sustainable human resource management research.

Workforce agility may contribute to sustainable performance by helping organizations respond to disruption, adjust operational practices, and develop new approaches to emerging challenges. Agile employees can potentially identify inefficiencies, improve collaboration, learn new technologies, and respond to changing stakeholder needs. These capabilities may support organizational continuity and innovation, particularly when unexpected disruptions require rapid adjustments in production, service delivery, or resource allocation. Nevertheless, agility should not be equated automatically with sustainability. Excessive demands for flexibility can increase employee workloads, weaken work-life balance, or create uncertainty regarding employment responsibilities. Sustainable performance therefore requires an approach to workforce agility that combines organizational responsiveness with employee development, fair treatment, and the preservation of long-term capabilities. This distinction is important because the objective should not be to maximize the speed of employee adaptation at any cost, but to develop adaptive capabilities that support organizational continuity and stakeholder welfare (Kraus et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

The relationship between adaptive human capital and sustainable performance can be explained through the capacity of employees to transform knowledge into organizational value. Employees who continuously update their skills may help organizations improve decision-making, introduce process improvements, and respond more effectively to changes in customer requirements or technological conditions. In addition, knowledge-sharing practices may reduce dependence on isolated individuals and strengthen organizational learning. These mechanisms are especially relevant to organizations facing limited resources because the effective development of internal capabilities may improve their ability to respond to disruption without relying exclusively on external solutions. Nevertheless, the contribution of adaptive human capital depends on whether learning opportunities are accessible, competencies are relevant to organizational needs, and employees can apply their knowledge in practice. The digital transformation literature supports the broader argument that organizational change requires the coordination of human, technological, and managerial resources rather than isolated investments in individual capabilities (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

The proposed integration becomes particularly important when examined through the concept of Islamic economic resilience. In this study, Islamic economic resilience can be understood as the capacity of economic organizations and communities operating within an Islamic ethical framework to withstand economic shocks, adapt to structural changes, preserve essential economic activities, and recover while maintaining commitments to justice, social welfare, and responsible resource allocation. This definition treats resilience as more than the restoration of financial performance after a crisis. It also considers the ability of economic actors to preserve livelihoods, sustain productive relationships, support vulnerable stakeholders, and maintain ethical conduct during periods of uncertainty. Such a

perspective is consistent with the broader objective of connecting organizational adaptation with socially beneficial outcomes. However, Islamic economic resilience should be distinguished from conventional organizational resilience and Islamic financial stability: the former concerns the broader ethical and socioeconomic capacity of an Islamic economic system, whereas the latter concepts refer to more specific organizational or financial conditions.

From this perspective, workforce agility may serve as a micro-level capability that contributes to resilience at the organizational level and potentially supports wider economic resilience. Employees who can adapt to new circumstances may help organizations maintain essential operations, reorganize tasks, develop alternative products or services, and respond to disruptions in supply chains or market demand. Adaptive human capital provides the knowledge and competencies necessary for these responses, while Islamic work ethics offers a normative orientation for determining how adaptation should be undertaken. Sustainable performance, in turn, provides an outcome dimension through which the longer-term implications of these capabilities can be evaluated. The proposed framework thus connects employee-level capabilities and ethical orientations with organizational outcomes and the broader socioeconomic objective of resilience. Nevertheless, the movement from individual workforce agility to economy-wide resilience should be treated as a multilevel proposition requiring empirical evidence rather than an automatic consequence of individual adaptability (Hanelt et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2021).

This integration also raises questions about the mechanisms through which the proposed relationships may operate. Adaptive human capital may strengthen workforce agility by increasing employees' capacity to acquire and apply knowledge under changing conditions. Islamic work ethics may influence the direction and quality of adaptive behavior by encouraging responsibility, fairness, honesty, and collaboration. Workforce agility may then support sustainable performance through more responsive work practices, organizational learning, and improved problem-solving. In turn, sustained organizational performance may contribute to economic resilience by helping organizations maintain productive activities and preserve stakeholder relationships during periods of uncertainty. These relationships suggest that workforce agility could function as a central connecting mechanism between human capital development, ethical work orientations, and sustainable organizational outcomes. However, the precise roles of mediation, moderation, and contextual influences must be established theoretically and tested empirically. Contemporary research on organizational transformation provides a relevant foundation for investigating the interaction of internal capabilities, organizational change, and performance outcomes (Verhoef et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

Several contextual factors may influence the strength of these relationships. Leadership practices, organizational culture, digital infrastructure, institutional support, and access to employee development opportunities can shape whether adaptive capabilities are effectively mobilized. In Islamic organizations, the consistency between formally stated ethical principles and actual managerial practices may also be important. Ethical values may have limited practical influence when organizational incentives reward only short-term financial performance or when employees lack opportunities to participate in decision-making. Similarly, investment in human capital may not improve resilience if organizational structures prevent employees from sharing knowledge or applying newly acquired competencies. These considerations suggest that the proposed framework should account for organizational conditions rather than assuming that workforce agility, adaptive human capital, and Islamic work ethics produce identical outcomes in every setting. Research on

digital transformation likewise emphasizes that organizational adaptation is shaped by the interaction of strategic, technological, and organizational factors (Kraus et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

Despite the conceptual relevance of these dimensions, an important research opportunity remains in explaining their integration within a unified framework of Islamic economic resilience. Research on digital transformation provides useful insights into organizational adaptation and capability development, while Islamic economic and management scholarship offers principles for understanding ethical conduct, distributive justice, and socioeconomic welfare. However, these perspectives need to be brought together through a clearly specified framework that connects workforce-level capabilities with organizational performance and broader resilience outcomes. In particular, it is necessary to distinguish the capacity to adapt from the ethical quality of adaptation and from the sustainability of its consequences. Without this distinction, agility may be treated as an independent predictor of performance even though its effects can depend on employee competencies, ethical orientations, and organizational conditions. Addressing this issue would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how organizations can remain adaptive without abandoning their ethical responsibilities or long-term commitments to stakeholder welfare.

The present study therefore aims to develop an integrated conceptual understanding of workforce agility and Islamic economic resilience by examining the relationships among adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance. Specifically, the study positions adaptive human capital as a capability foundation, Islamic work ethics as a normative orientation, workforce agility as an adaptive mechanism, and sustainable performance as an organizational outcome relevant to economic resilience. This framework is intended to clarify how employees' capacity to adapt, when supported by appropriate competencies and ethical principles, may contribute to organizational continuity and sustainable value creation. It also provides a basis for investigating whether the relationships among these dimensions differ according to organizational characteristics, institutional environments, or levels of economic uncertainty. The framework builds on established discussions of organizational transformation and capability development while extending the inquiry toward the distinctive ethical and socioeconomic objectives associated with Islamic economic resilience (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

The expected contribution of this study is threefold. First, it brings workforce agility and adaptive human capital into a common analytical framework to explain how employee capabilities may support organizational adaptation. Second, it introduces Islamic work ethics as a normative dimension that may help distinguish responsible adaptation from adaptation directed exclusively toward short-term organizational gains. Third, it connects sustainable performance with the broader concept of Islamic economic resilience, emphasizing the importance of economic continuity, social responsibility, and ethical resource utilization. These contributions may be relevant to organizations seeking to strengthen their adaptive capacity while maintaining commitments to justice and social welfare. Nevertheless, the proposed relationships require systematic empirical examination, and the framework should be evaluated using clearly defined constructs, validated measurement instruments, and research designs appropriate to the intended level of analysis.

Ultimately, *Workforce Agility and Islamic Economic Resilience: Integrating Adaptive Human Capital, Islamic Work Ethics, and Sustainable Performance* advances the proposition that resilience in an Islamic economic context should not be assessed solely through the ability to survive disruption or restore financial performance. It should also be evaluated in

terms of the capabilities organizations develop, the ethical principles guiding their decisions, and the sustainability of the value they create for society. By integrating workforce agility, adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance, this study provides a conceptual starting point for understanding how human capabilities and ethical orientations may jointly contribute to resilient and responsible economic activity. Further theoretical refinement and empirical validation are necessary to determine the strength, direction, and boundary conditions of these relationships and to establish their implications for organizational practice and Islamic economic development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Workforce Agility and Adaptive Human Capital

Workforce agility refers to the capacity of employees to respond effectively to changing work demands, unexpected challenges, and emerging organizational opportunities. It encompasses behavioral flexibility, rapid learning, proactive problem-solving, and the ability to adjust work practices while maintaining organizational objectives. In an increasingly dynamic business environment, workforce agility represents an important human resource capability because organizations must continuously adapt to technological developments, market uncertainty, and changing stakeholder expectations. Digital transformation research emphasizes that organizational adaptation depends not only on technological innovation but also on the development of complementary human and organizational capabilities (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021). Accordingly, workforce agility can be conceptualized as an employee-level capability that supports organizational responsiveness and facilitates the implementation of strategic change.

Adaptive human capital complements workforce agility by emphasizing the knowledge, skills, competencies, and learning capacities that enable employees to respond to changing conditions. While workforce agility describes employees' capacity to adapt their behavior, adaptive human capital represents the resources and competencies that make such adaptation possible. Employees who possess relevant knowledge, digital competencies, learning orientation, and problem-solving skills may be better equipped to respond to operational disruptions and changing work requirements. Nevertheless, the contribution of human capital depends on whether organizations provide opportunities for learning, knowledge sharing, and the practical application of employee competencies. Research on digital transformation highlights the importance of aligning organizational resources, managerial practices, and technological developments to support effective organizational change (Kraus et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021). Therefore, adaptive human capital is theoretically expected to strengthen workforce agility by providing the competency foundation required for continuous adaptation.

Islamic Work Ethics as a Normative Foundation

Islamic work ethics provide a normative framework for understanding how employees should exercise their competencies and respond to organizational change. Grounded in Islamic moral principles, this framework emphasizes honesty, responsibility, trustworthiness (*amanah*), justice (*'adl*), consultation (*shura*), and excellence in work (*itqan*). These principles position work not merely as an instrument for financial gain but also as a responsibility that should contribute to individual development and collective welfare. Within organizations, Islamic work ethics may shape employee conduct by encouraging accountability, fairness, cooperation, and responsible decision-making. However, Islamic

work ethics should be distinguished from general organizational adaptability because ethical orientation concerns the principles guiding behavior rather than the employee's technical capacity to respond to change.

The integration of Islamic work ethics with workforce agility provides a theoretical explanation for why adaptive behavior should be evaluated not only by its speed or efficiency but also by its ethical quality. Employees may respond rapidly to organizational demands without necessarily considering fairness, employee welfare, or the wider consequences of their decisions. Islamic ethical principles may provide guidance for ensuring that adaptation remains consistent with responsibility and social benefit. For example, *amanah* emphasizes the responsible fulfillment of work obligations, while *'adl* highlights fairness in organizational relationships and resource allocation. Similarly, *shura* provides a normative basis for consultation and participatory decision-making. These relationships represent theoretically plausible connections that require direct testing through established Islamic work ethics measures and relevant empirical studies. The broader organizational transformation literature supports the importance of aligning organizational change with internal capabilities and managerial practices, although it does not by itself establish the specific effects of Islamic work ethics (Verhoef et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

Sustainable Performance and Islamic Economic Resilience

Sustainable performance refers to an organization's capacity to maintain economic viability while addressing social and environmental responsibilities over time. Unlike performance models that concentrate exclusively on short-term profitability, sustainable performance incorporates the continuity of organizational operations, the development of employees, responsible resource utilization, and the creation of long-term stakeholder value. Workforce agility may support sustainable performance by enabling employees to identify operational challenges, learn new skills, improve work processes, and respond to changing market conditions. Adaptive human capital can further contribute by providing the knowledge and competencies necessary to sustain innovation and organizational learning. Nevertheless, agility does not automatically produce sustainable outcomes. Its contribution depends on organizational support, ethical leadership, fair working conditions, and the extent to which adaptive practices preserve long-term organizational and employee well-being. Research on digital transformation reinforces the broader argument that organizational outcomes depend on the interaction of strategic, technological, and organizational capabilities (Kraus et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

Islamic economic resilience extends this discussion by connecting organizational adaptation with the ability to withstand disruption, maintain productive activities, and preserve socioeconomic welfare in accordance with Islamic ethical principles. In this conceptual framework, resilience is not limited to restoring financial performance after a crisis; it also involves maintaining responsible economic relationships, protecting stakeholder interests, and supporting equitable and sustainable economic activity. Workforce agility may contribute to this objective by helping organizations respond to uncertainty, while adaptive human capital supplies the competencies needed to develop effective responses. Islamic work ethics provides a normative orientation for ensuring that these responses remain consistent with justice, accountability, and social welfare. Sustainable performance then serves as an organizational outcome through which the continuity and quality of economic activity can be assessed. However, the relationship between organizational performance and economy-wide resilience requires a multilevel analytical approach because

organizational capabilities cannot automatically be interpreted as evidence of resilience at the broader economic-system level.

Theoretical Integration and Research Proposition

The literature reviewed above provides a conceptual basis for integrating workforce agility, adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, sustainable performance, and Islamic economic resilience within a unified framework. Adaptive human capital represents the competency foundation that may strengthen workforce agility, while Islamic work ethics provides a normative orientation for directing adaptive behavior toward responsible outcomes. Workforce agility may subsequently contribute to sustainable performance through organizational responsiveness, continuous learning, and problem-solving. Sustainable performance may, in turn, support organizational resilience by helping organizations maintain productive activities and stakeholder relationships during periods of uncertainty. The integration of these dimensions extends the discussion of organizational transformation by considering both the capabilities required for adaptation and the ethical principles that should guide its implementation (Hanelt et al., 2021; Kraus et al., 2021).

Despite their conceptual compatibility, the relationships among these constructs should not be assumed to be automatic or universally positive. The contribution of adaptive human capital may depend on learning opportunities and organizational support, while the influence of Islamic work ethics may depend on the consistency between ethical values and actual managerial practices. Workforce agility may also generate unintended consequences when flexibility increases employee pressure or prioritizes short-term responsiveness over long-term well-being. Consequently, the proposed framework requires empirical investigation to establish the direction and strength of the relationships, the possible mediating role of workforce agility, and the contextual conditions that influence sustainable performance. The central proposition of this study is that the integration of adaptive human capital and Islamic work ethics may provide a stronger conceptual foundation for workforce agility, which may contribute to sustainable performance and, under appropriate organizational and institutional conditions, support Islamic economic resilience. This proposition offers a basis for future empirical research while recognizing that the broader economic implications require evidence beyond individual employee or organizational-level outcomes.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative descriptive-exploratory approach to examine the conceptual relationship between workforce agility and Islamic economic resilience through the integration of adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance. A qualitative approach is appropriate for exploring complex organizational phenomena, interpreting relationships among theoretical constructs, and developing a contextual understanding of how human capabilities and ethical orientations may contribute to organizational resilience. The study focuses on conceptual integration rather than statistical hypothesis testing, enabling an in-depth examination of the mechanisms through which employee adaptability, competency development, and Islamic ethical principles may contribute to sustainable organizational outcomes. The methodological orientation follows established qualitative research principles emphasizing systematic inquiry, contextual

interpretation, and coherence between research objectives and analytical procedures (Creswell & Poth, 2021).

The study uses a structured literature review as its primary data collection strategy. Relevant academic publications are identified through scholarly databases, particularly Scopus, using combinations of keywords such as “workforce agility,” “adaptive human capital,” “Islamic work ethics,” “sustainable performance,” “organizational resilience,” and “Islamic economic resilience.” The review prioritizes publications published between 2021 and 2026 to capture recent developments in organizational adaptability, human resource management, ethical behavior, and sustainability. Peer-reviewed journal articles are prioritized, while additional theoretical publications may be consulted when necessary to clarify foundational concepts. The selection process considers relevance to the research questions, conceptual contribution, methodological transparency, and the relationship between the study's principal constructs. Publications with insufficient bibliographic information or no clear relevance to the research objectives are excluded. The review process is informed by systematic approaches to literature synthesis and organizational research, with attention to transparent source identification and consistent inclusion criteria (Snyder, 2021).

The collected literature is analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring concepts, theoretical relationships, and research gaps across the selected publications. The analysis proceeds through several stages: familiarization with the literature, initial coding of relevant concepts, grouping related codes into broader themes, reviewing thematic relationships, and synthesizing the findings into an integrated conceptual framework. Four principal analytical themes guide the process: adaptive human capital as the competency foundation, workforce agility as an adaptive organizational capability, Islamic work ethics as a normative orientation, and sustainable performance as an outcome associated with organizational resilience. These themes are examined comparatively to identify convergences, differences, and unresolved questions in the existing literature. The analysis also distinguishes individual-level capabilities from organizational-level outcomes to avoid making unsupported assumptions about the direct relationship between employee adaptability and economy-wide resilience. Thematic analysis provides a systematic approach to identifying patterns of meaning across qualitative materials and developing an interpretive synthesis of the evidence (Braun & Clarke, 2022).

The final stage involves conceptual integration, in which the identified themes are connected to explain how adaptive human capital may support workforce agility, how Islamic work ethics may guide the ethical application of adaptive capabilities, and how these relationships may contribute to sustainable performance. Islamic economic resilience is treated as a broader contextual outcome involving the capacity to maintain productive economic activity, respond to disruption, and preserve commitments to justice and social welfare. The resulting framework is presented as a theoretically informed proposition rather than an empirically validated causal model. To strengthen analytical rigor, the study maintains consistency between the research questions, literature selection criteria, thematic interpretation, and conceptual conclusions. The methodological limitations are acknowledged, particularly the dependence on published literature, possible database coverage bias, and the need for future empirical studies to test the proposed relationships in specific organizational and Islamic economic contexts.

RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Adaptive Human Capital as the Foundation of Workforce Agility

The conceptual synthesis indicates that adaptive human capital provides an important foundation for understanding workforce agility in organizations operating under conditions of economic uncertainty, technological change, and evolving stakeholder expectations. Human capital becomes strategically valuable not only through the knowledge and skills employees currently possess but also through their capacity to renew, transfer, and apply those competencies in response to emerging challenges. From this perspective, workforce agility represents the practical expression of adaptive human capital, translating individual knowledge, learning capabilities, and professional experience into responsive work behavior. Research on digital transformation emphasizes that organizational change requires the development of complementary capabilities and the coordination of technological, managerial, and organizational resources (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021). Accordingly, employee adaptability should be understood as part of a broader organizational capability rather than as an isolated individual characteristic.

Adaptive human capital encompasses several interrelated dimensions, including continuous learning, digital competence, cognitive flexibility, collaborative problem-solving, and the capacity to transfer knowledge across tasks and organizational boundaries. Continuous learning enables employees to update their competencies when existing practices become less effective. Digital competence supports the use of technological tools to improve work processes and decision-making, while cognitive flexibility allows employees to consider alternative solutions when established routines no longer address organizational problems. Collaborative problem-solving facilitates the exchange of knowledge among employees with different experiences and areas of expertise. Together, these dimensions provide the potential foundation for workforce agility because they enable employees to recognize change, interpret new information, and adjust their behavior in response to evolving work requirements. Nevertheless, these capabilities must be developed through appropriate organizational practices rather than assumed to emerge automatically from technological adoption (Kraus et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

The relationship between adaptive human capital and workforce agility can be explained through the distinction between possessing competencies and mobilizing them in practice. An employee may have advanced technical knowledge but remain unable to respond effectively when organizational procedures restrict experimentation, communication, or decision-making. Conversely, an employee with moderate initial expertise may demonstrate considerable adaptability when supported by continuous training, constructive feedback, collaborative leadership, and opportunities to learn through experience. Consequently, the contribution of adaptive human capital depends on the interaction between individual competencies and organizational conditions. This interpretation is consistent with the broader digital transformation literature, which emphasizes that organizational adaptation involves coordinated changes in capabilities, structures, and strategic practices rather than the acquisition of isolated technological resources (Verhoef et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021). From a strategic human resource management perspective, workforce agility should therefore be developed through a systematic process of competency identification, employee development, knowledge sharing, and performance evaluation. Organizations need to identify the competencies required under changing operational conditions and align training programs with both current responsibilities and emerging challenges. Learning opportunities should extend beyond formal training to include mentoring, collaborative projects, cross-functional assignments, and reflective evaluation of

work experiences. These practices can potentially improve employees' ability to transfer knowledge between situations and respond constructively to unexpected demands. However, organizational investment in employee development must also be accompanied by sufficient time, resources, and managerial support. If employees are expected to adapt continuously without access to learning opportunities, workforce agility may become an additional source of pressure rather than a sustainable organizational capability.

The relationship becomes particularly important when workforce agility is examined in the context of Islamic economic resilience. Organizations operating within Islamic economic environments may face the dual challenge of maintaining economic continuity and ensuring that organizational decisions remain consistent with principles of responsibility, justice, and social welfare. Adaptive human capital can support this objective by improving the capacity to identify risks, develop alternative solutions, and preserve productive activities during periods of disruption. For example, employees with relevant digital competencies may help organizations maintain services when conventional operating arrangements become unavailable, while employees with strong analytical and collaborative capabilities may help identify alternative suppliers, reorganize workloads, or improve resource allocation. These examples illustrate potential mechanisms rather than documented outcomes of the present study. Their actual effectiveness depends on organizational resources, institutional conditions, and the nature of the disruption. An important implication is that workforce agility should not be measured exclusively through speed, flexibility, or the number of tasks employees can perform. Such indicators may overlook the quality of adaptation, the appropriateness of employee decisions, and the longer-term consequences of changing work arrangements. A more comprehensive assessment should consider whether employees can adapt while preserving work quality, sharing knowledge responsibly, maintaining collaboration, and contributing to organizational learning. This broader perspective aligns with the view that digital transformation is an organizational process involving strategic and structural change rather than merely the rapid implementation of new technologies (Hanelt et al., 2021; Verhoef et al., 2021).

Adaptive human capital can therefore be positioned as a foundational construct in the proposed framework. It provides the competencies necessary for workforce agility, while organizational practices determine whether those competencies can be translated into effective adaptive behavior. Adaptive human capital positively contributes to workforce agility when organizations provide appropriate learning opportunities, knowledge-sharing mechanisms, and supportive work environments. This proposition establishes the capability foundation of the framework. However, the development of workforce agility alone does not explain whether adaptive behavior will produce ethically appropriate and sustainable outcomes. A complementary normative dimension is required to explain how employees should exercise their competencies and how organizations should evaluate the consequences of adaptation. This consideration leads to the role of Islamic work ethics in shaping the direction and quality of workforce agility.

Islamic Work Ethics as the Ethical Mechanism Linking Workforce Agility and Sustainable Performance

Islamic work ethics provide a normative foundation for examining how employees exercise their competencies and respond to organizational change. While adaptive human capital explains the knowledge and skills available to employees, Islamic work ethics concerns the moral principles that guide the application of those capabilities. The distinction is important because employees may possess substantial technical competence and

demonstrate high levels of adaptability without necessarily considering the fairness, accountability, or social consequences of their decisions. In the proposed framework, Islamic work ethics provides an ethical orientation through which adaptive behavior can be evaluated in relation to responsibility, honesty, trustworthiness, justice, consultation, and the pursuit of beneficial outcomes. This ethical dimension extends the analysis of organizational transformation by emphasizing that effective adaptation should be assessed not only in terms of operational efficiency but also in terms of the principles governing organizational conduct. The principle of *amanah*, or trustworthiness, is particularly relevant to workforce agility because employees who adapt to new responsibilities often receive greater discretion in decision-making and resource management. Such discretion creates opportunities for innovation but also increases the importance of accountability. Employees may be required to handle sensitive information, manage organizational assets, communicate with stakeholders, or perform unfamiliar tasks under time pressure. An orientation toward *amanah* conceptually emphasizes the responsible fulfillment of these obligations. Within the proposed framework, trustworthiness may therefore help ensure that flexibility is exercised in ways consistent with organizational responsibilities and stakeholder interests. However, the strength of this relationship must be established through direct empirical research on Islamic work ethics rather than inferred solely from studies of digital transformation.

The principle of *'adl*, or justice, provides another important dimension. Organizational adaptation frequently involves the redistribution of tasks, changes in working arrangements, the introduction of new performance expectations, and the allocation of development opportunities. These changes may generate unequal consequences for employees if responsibilities are redistributed without adequate consultation or if access to training is concentrated among a limited group. A justice-oriented approach requires organizations to consider the fairness of such decisions and the distribution of their benefits and burdens. Consequently, Islamic work ethics can be understood as a normative resource for evaluating whether workforce agility supports organizational improvement without systematically disadvantaging particular employees or stakeholders. This interpretation also highlights the importance of distinguishing organizational flexibility from the unrestricted ability of management to transfer risks and responsibilities to employees. The principle of *shura*, or consultation, is relevant because organizational adaptation often requires employees to share information, identify operational problems, and participate in developing solutions. Consultation may facilitate the exchange of practical knowledge and allow organizations to identify risks that are not immediately visible to senior management. It may also strengthen employee participation by creating opportunities for individuals to contribute their experiences and perspectives to organizational decisions. Within the proposed framework, *shura* is therefore conceptually associated with collaborative adaptation, knowledge sharing, and the development of collective responses to uncertainty. However, consultation should not be treated as automatically effective; its contribution depends on whether employee input is genuinely considered, communication is constructive, and decision-making processes remain accountable.

The principle of *itqan*, understood as excellence and careful execution of work, provides a further connection between Islamic work ethics and sustainable performance. Adaptability that prioritizes speed without maintaining quality may generate operational errors, customer dissatisfaction, or the repeated use of short-term solutions. An orientation toward excellence emphasizes that employees should seek to perform their responsibilities carefully and competently, even when organizational conditions change. In this sense, *itqan* complements workforce agility by emphasizing the quality and reliability of adaptive

responses. The conceptual relationship is particularly important in organizations that provide professional services, manage public resources, or operate in environments where errors may have consequences for stakeholders beyond the organization itself. The integration of these ethical principles suggests that Islamic work ethics should not be regarded merely as an additional employee characteristic. Instead, it can be conceptualized as a normative mechanism that influences how human capital and workforce agility are translated into organizational outcomes. Adaptive human capital provides the capacity to respond to changing conditions, workforce agility represents the application of that capacity, and Islamic work ethics provides principles for evaluating the appropriateness of adaptive behavior. Sustainable performance then concerns whether the resulting organizational activities maintain economic viability while addressing social and environmental responsibilities. This conceptual distinction makes it possible to explain why two organizations with similar levels of employee competence and adaptability may produce different outcomes because of differences in ethical culture, leadership practices, and accountability mechanisms.

The relationship between Islamic work ethics and sustainable performance also depends on the extent to which ethical principles are incorporated into organizational systems. Formal statements of values may have limited influence when recruitment, promotion, remuneration, and performance evaluation reward only short-term financial results. To become operationally meaningful, ethical principles should be reflected in leadership behavior, decision-making procedures, employee development, stakeholder engagement, and mechanisms for addressing misconduct. Organizations may, for example, evaluate not only whether employees meet performance targets but also whether those targets are achieved through responsible resource utilization, fair treatment, and transparent decision-making. Such practices can potentially reduce the gap between stated ethical commitments and everyday organizational behavior. Nevertheless, the proposed relationship requires careful interpretation. Islamic work ethics should not be assumed to guarantee superior performance or eliminate organizational conflict. Ethical principles may be interpreted differently across organizational settings, and tensions can arise when financial constraints, competing stakeholder expectations, and urgent operational demands require difficult decisions. The analytical value of Islamic work ethics lies in providing normative criteria for evaluating such decisions rather than promising that every decision will generate universally beneficial outcomes. Moreover, sustainable performance depends on structural factors such as access to capital, market conditions, organizational governance, and regulatory environments. Ethical orientation may contribute to responsible conduct, but it cannot independently overcome every external constraint. This proposition identifies Islamic work ethics as a theoretically important dimension of the relationship between workforce agility and sustainable performance. Its empirical evaluation would require validated measures of Islamic work ethics, explicit indicators of ethical behavior, and suitable measures of organizational outcomes. It would also require testing whether ethical orientation acts as a moderator, a mediating mechanism, or an independent predictor within the proposed framework. These possibilities should remain analytically distinct until supported by an appropriate research design.

Sustainable Performance as a Pathway toward Islamic Economic Resilience

Sustainable performance represents the outcome dimension of the proposed framework because it captures the ability of organizations to maintain productive activities while addressing economic, social, and environmental responsibilities. Conventional performance assessments frequently emphasize financial indicators such as profitability,

revenue growth, productivity, and cost efficiency. Although these indicators remain essential, they may not fully capture whether organizational success can be maintained over time or whether the benefits and burdens of economic activity are distributed responsibly. Sustainable performance therefore requires a broader perspective that considers economic viability, employee well-being, organizational learning, responsible resource utilization, and stakeholder relationships. Research on digital transformation emphasizes that the long-term implications of organizational change depend on the interaction of strategic decisions, internal capabilities, technological developments, and organizational structures (Verhoef et al., 2021; Hanelt et al., 2021).

Workforce agility may contribute to sustainable performance by enabling organizations to respond more effectively to changes in demand, operational disruptions, and emerging technological opportunities. Agile employees can potentially identify inefficiencies, develop alternative work processes, and support the implementation of new organizational strategies. When these capabilities are combined with adaptive human capital, organizations may become better equipped to learn from experience and adjust their operations without relying exclusively on rigid routines. Nevertheless, the contribution of workforce agility depends on whether adaptive practices improve organizational outcomes without undermining employee welfare or operational quality. If flexibility is achieved by increasing workloads, weakening employment security, or transferring excessive responsibility to individual employees, short-term efficiency gains may create longer-term costs. Sustainable performance consequently requires a balance between responsiveness, organizational effectiveness, and the maintenance of human capabilities. Adaptive human capital may further contribute to sustainable performance by supporting organizational learning and the accumulation of knowledge that remains valuable beyond individual tasks. Employees who acquire new competencies and share knowledge with colleagues can help organizations reduce dependence on isolated expertise and improve their ability to respond to future challenges. Knowledge-sharing mechanisms may also facilitate the identification of recurring problems and the development of more effective organizational procedures. However, such benefits depend on the availability of supportive systems, managerial commitment, and opportunities for employees to apply their competencies. Human capital development should therefore be evaluated not only through training participation or qualifications obtained but also through the extent to which learning improves work quality, organizational responsiveness, and the continuity of productive activities.

Islamic work ethics adds a normative dimension to this relationship by emphasizing that sustainable performance should not be evaluated solely in terms of economic results. The principles of justice, trustworthiness, responsibility, and social welfare provide a conceptual basis for considering whether organizational activities create benefits without imposing unjustified costs on employees, customers, communities, or the environment. From this perspective, sustainable performance involves more than maintaining profitability; it also concerns the ethical quality of the processes through which organizational results are achieved. For example, an organization that improves productivity through responsible innovation, fair employee treatment, and efficient resource utilization may demonstrate a more comprehensive form of sustainability than an organization that achieves similar financial results through excessive workloads or irresponsible resource consumption. These examples illustrate the proposed analytical distinction and should be evaluated using appropriate empirical indicators in future studies.

The concept of Islamic economic resilience extends this argument from organizational performance toward the broader capacity of economic actors to withstand shocks, adapt to changing conditions, and preserve socially beneficial economic activity. In this study, Islamic economic resilience is understood as a conceptual outcome that combines economic continuity with ethical responsibility and socioeconomic welfare. It is not synonymous with the financial stability of Islamic banks or the recovery of a single organization after a crisis. Rather, it concerns the ability of organizations and economic communities operating within an Islamic ethical framework to maintain productive relationships, preserve essential activities, and respond to uncertainty without abandoning commitments to justice and social benefit. This definition provides an analytical connection between organizational capabilities and the broader objectives of Islamic economic development. The pathway from sustainable performance to Islamic economic resilience can be explained through several potential mechanisms. First, organizations that maintain operational continuity may be better positioned to preserve employment, meet contractual obligations, and sustain relationships with suppliers and customers during periods of disruption. Second, organizations that invest in employee development and organizational learning may improve their capacity to identify emerging risks and develop alternative responses. Third, organizations that incorporate ethical considerations into resource allocation may be better equipped to evaluate how organizational decisions affect employees and other stakeholders. These mechanisms suggest that sustainable performance can contribute to resilience by supporting the continuity of productive activities and the preservation of organizational relationships. However, the magnitude of these contributions depends on the severity of external shocks, access to financial and institutional resources, and the structure of the surrounding economy.

The integration of workforce agility, adaptive human capital, and Islamic work ethics thus provides a potential explanation for how organizational resilience can be developed through human capabilities and ethical governance. Workforce agility supports responsiveness, adaptive human capital provides the competencies required for adaptation, and Islamic work ethics helps orient adaptive behavior toward responsible outcomes. Sustainable performance represents the organizational outcome through which the continuity and quality of these activities may be evaluated. When organizations sustain their productive capacity and maintain responsible stakeholder relationships, they may contribute to broader socioeconomic resilience. Nevertheless, the relationship between organizational performance and economy-wide resilience is not automatic. A collection of adaptive organizations does not necessarily generate a resilient economic system if broader institutional arrangements remain weak, economic resources are distributed inequitably, or systemic risks exceed the capacity of individual organizations.

This distinction has important methodological implications. Workforce agility and adaptive human capital are primarily associated with employees and organizational processes, whereas Islamic economic resilience may operate at organizational, community, regional, or national levels. Consequently, researchers should avoid drawing conclusions about the resilience of an entire Islamic economy from data collected exclusively from individual employees. A study focusing on employees may test individual adaptability, ethical orientation, and perceptions of organizational performance. A study focusing on organizations may examine continuity, recovery capacity, productivity, and stakeholder outcomes. A study claiming economy-wide implications would require broader evidence, such as organizational-level data combined with socioeconomic indicators or multilevel analysis. Clearly distinguishing these analytical levels would strengthen the theoretical

precision of the proposed framework. This proposition emphasizes that sustainable performance is an intermediate organizational outcome rather than definitive evidence of economy-wide resilience. It also recognizes the importance of institutional and contextual conditions. Future empirical research should investigate whether organizations with stronger adaptive capabilities and ethical orientations demonstrate more stable performance during disruption, whether such performance contributes to organizational recovery, and under what circumstances organizational outcomes translate into wider socioeconomic benefits.

Taken together, the three propositions provide an integrated conceptual explanation of workforce agility and Islamic economic resilience. Adaptive human capital establishes the competency foundation, Islamic work ethics provides the normative orientation, and sustainable performance represents a key organizational outcome relevant to resilience. Workforce agility connects these dimensions by describing how employee capabilities are mobilized in response to changing conditions. The framework therefore proposes that resilience should be understood not merely as the ability to recover from disruption but also as the capacity to adapt responsibly, maintain productive activities, and preserve commitments to stakeholder welfare. Its contribution lies in bringing human resource capabilities and Islamic ethical principles into a common analytical framework while identifying the need for further empirical testing. The resulting framework has practical implications for organizational management. Organizations seeking to strengthen resilience should invest in continuous learning, facilitate knowledge sharing, and develop employees' ability to respond to uncertainty. At the same time, these initiatives should be accompanied by ethical leadership, transparent decision-making, fair performance evaluation, and responsible resource allocation. Sustainable performance indicators should combine financial outcomes with measures of employee development, operational continuity, stakeholder relationships, and relevant social or environmental impacts. In Islamic economic settings, such an approach can provide a basis for evaluating whether organizational adaptation is consistent with broader objectives of justice, accountability, and social welfare. However, the specific indicators should be adapted to the organization's sector, mission, institutional environment, and level of analysis.

The conceptual framework also identifies several priorities for future research. First, empirical studies should establish whether adaptive human capital predicts workforce agility after accounting for organizational support, leadership practices, and technological resources. Second, research should examine how Islamic work ethics influences the relationship between workforce agility and sustainable performance, including the possibility that ethical orientation changes the strength or direction of this relationship. Third, longitudinal studies could investigate whether organizations with stronger adaptive capabilities maintain more stable performance and recover more effectively following disruptions. Finally, multilevel research is needed to determine whether organizational resilience contributes to broader Islamic economic resilience through employment continuity, responsible economic participation, and socioeconomic welfare. Addressing these questions would allow the proposed framework to move from conceptual integration toward a more rigorous empirical explanation of resilient and ethically responsible economic activity.

CONCLUSION

This study develops a conceptual framework for understanding the relationship between workforce agility and Islamic economic resilience through the integration of adaptive human capital, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance. The conceptual

synthesis highlights that workforce agility represents an important capability for responding to economic uncertainty, technological transformation, and changing organizational demands. However, its contribution to resilience depends on the competencies employees possess, the ethical principles guiding their behavior, and the organizational conditions supporting their adaptation. Adaptive human capital provides the foundation for continuous learning, knowledge application, and problem-solving, while Islamic work ethics offers a normative orientation emphasizing trustworthiness, justice, accountability, and social responsibility. Together, these dimensions provide a basis for understanding how employee adaptability may support sustainable organizational outcomes. The study further proposes that sustainable performance represents an important pathway connecting workforce agility with organizational resilience and, under appropriate institutional conditions, broader Islamic economic resilience. Organizations that combine adaptive capabilities with ethical decision-making may be better positioned to maintain productive activities, respond to disruption, and preserve stakeholder relationships. Nevertheless, resilience should not be evaluated solely through financial recovery or operational efficiency. Within an Islamic economic perspective, it should also consider the continuity of economic activity, fairness in organizational relationships, responsible resource utilization, and the contribution of economic activities to social welfare. This integrated perspective emphasizes that adaptability and economic sustainability should be pursued alongside ethical accountability. The principal contribution of this study is the integration of human capital capabilities, workforce agility, Islamic work ethics, and sustainable performance within a unified conceptual framework. The framework offers theoretical propositions for future research on the mechanisms through which adaptive competencies and ethical orientations may influence organizational outcomes. However, the proposed relationships have not yet been empirically validated. Future studies should test the framework using validated measurement instruments, appropriate organizational samples, and longitudinal or multilevel research designs. Further investigation is also needed

REFERENCES

Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management, 38*(4), 932–968. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311436079

Ali, A. J. (1988). Scaling an Islamic work ethic. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 128*(5), 575–583. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1988.9922911

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115

Bansal, P., & DesJardine, M. R. (2014). Business sustainability: It is about time. *Strategic Organization, 12*(1), 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127013520265

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research, 13*, 215–246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science, 60*(11), 2835–2857. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984

Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., & Antunes Marante, C. (2021). A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: Insights and implications for strategy and organizational change. *Journal of Management Studies, 58*(5), 1159–1197. https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639

Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The dynamic resource-based view: Capability lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal, 24*(10), 997–1010. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.332

Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management, 63*, 102466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review, 21*(3), 243–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2010.07.001

Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). *Psychological capital: Developing the human competitive edge*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195187526.001.0001

Overby, E., Bharadwaj, A., & Sambamurthy, V. (2006). Enterprise agility and the enabling role of information technology. *European Journal of Information Systems, 15*, 120–131. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000600

Sambamurthy, V., Bharadwaj, A., & Grover, V. (2003). Shaping agility through digital options: Reconceptualizing the role of information technology in contemporary firms. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(2), 237–263. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036530

Sherehiy, B., Karwowski, W., & Layer, J. K. (2007). A review of enterprise agility: Concepts, frameworks, and attributes. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 37*(5), 445–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2007.01.007

Sherehiy, B., & Karwowski, W. (2014). The relationship between work organization and workforce agility in small manufacturing enterprises. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 44*(3), 466–473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2014.01.002

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 28*(13), 1319–1350. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640

Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning, 51*(1), 40–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2017.06.007

Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research, 122*, 889–901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022

Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 28*(2), 118–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003

Williams, T. A., Gruber, D. A., Sutcliffe, K. M., Shepherd, D. A., & Zhao, E. Y. (2017). Organizational response to adversity: Fusing crisis management and resilience research streams. *Academy of Management Annals, 11*(2), 733–769.

https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0134
Yousef, D. A. (2000). Organizational commitment as a mediator of the relationship between Islamic work ethic and attitudes toward organizational change. *Human Relations, 53*(4), 513–537. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726700534003
Yousef, D. A. (2001). Islamic work ethic—A moderator between organizational commitment and job satisfaction in a cross-cultural context. *Personnel Review, 30*(2), 152–169. https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480110380325
Zollo, M., & Winter, S. G. (2002). Deliberate learning and the evolution of dynamic capabilities. *Organization Science, 13*(3), 339–351. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.13.3.339.2780